

## Shared Values of Criminology Program in Relation to Civic Engagement: The Role of Moral Identity and Social Concern

Ryan Christian A. Abonales<sup>1\*</sup> & Angelita B. Alvarico<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Misamis University, Ozamiz City, Philippines. Email: ryanchristian.abonales@my.smciligan.edu.ph\*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46382/MJBAS.2025.9208>



Copyright © 2025 Ryan Christian A. Abonales & Angelita B. Alvarico. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Article Received: 26 February 2025

Article Accepted: 29 April 2025

Article Published: 19 May 2025

### ABSTRACT

Shared values, unique to criminology students, alongside with distinct moral identities and social concern levels are critical in the cultivation of civic-minded individuals. The study examined the influence of shared values of Criminology program, moral identity and social concern towards civic engagement among criminology students at a Higher Education Institution (HEI) in Iligan City during the S.Y. 2024-2025. This study employed descriptive-correlational design. The respondents were the 126 students selected through stratified random sampling. Data was collected using modified questionnaires. The statistical tools used were Mean, Standard Deviation, Pearson Product Moment Correlation, and Regression Analysis. Findings revealed a very high level of shared values such as courtesy, discipline, and integrity and tact among criminology students; a moderately high level of moral identity; and a very high level of social concern but revealed a low level of civic engagement behavior. Relationships were established among students' shared values and civic engagement, moral self, and social concern. Moreover, moral integrity showed a negative relationship with social concern. Ultimately, criminology students' shared values and moral identity were discovered to predict civic engagement behaviors. Cultivation of criminology shared values while shaping moral identity deliberately motivate students to get involved and contribute to societal betterment. The study recommended further reinforcement of criminology shared values through departmental initiatives while providing activities that guides students towards a much stronger moral identity.

**Keywords:** Civic Engagement; Character Development; Community Involvement; Criminology Program; Engagement Behavior; Shared Values; Ethics in Criminology; Higher Education; Moral Identity; Social Concern; Social Responsibility; Student Values.

### 1. Introduction

In criminology education, the shared values and traditions between faculty and students play a vital role in shaping professional ethics, civic responsibility, and societal engagement (Nunes & Moura, 2024; Ngumuta et al., 2024). Cultural influences foster inclusivity, discipline, and leadership, preparing students for roles in law enforcement and public service (Zembylas, 2023; Amarasinghe, 2024). Criminology programs, deeply rooted in militaristic principles, instill discipline, patriotism, and professionalism, in line with national standards (CHED, 2018; The Philippine Criminology Profession Act, 2018). Career trends reinforce this culture, as criminology graduates gain employment advantages in law enforcement (Stringer & Murphy, 2020; DILG Act, 1990). However, civic engagement—essential for fostering community involvement and leadership—remains underexplored in relation to criminology education (Landig & Landig, 2024). Civic engagement involves both political and non-political actions aimed at community betterment (Dee, 2020; Chittum et al., 2022).

Moral identity and social concern are crucial mediators, promoting justice, empathy, and ethical action (Shehzad & Toor, 2024; Kim & Koo, 2020). Students with strong moral identities and social empathy are more likely to engage in civic activities, addressing societal inequalities (Cakal et al., 2021; Martini et al., 2023). While research has linked organizational culture to civic engagement (Mishra & Sharma, 2021; Watson, 2023), little is known about how criminology programs' shared values influence civic behavior through moral identity and social concern. This study addresses this knowledge gap, aiming to enhance criminology education by promoting socially responsible and ethically grounded graduates.

## 1.1. Study Objectives

This study aimed to examine the influence of Criminology program shared values towards the students' civic engagement behaviors by establishing relationships among the variables. Specifically, this study answered the following: (1) What are the Criminology students' shared values? (2) What is the students' level of civic engagement? (3) What is the students' level of moral identity? (4) What is the students' level of social concern? (5) Is there a significant relationship between Criminology student's shared values and civic engagement? (6) Is there a significant relationship between Criminology student's shared values and moral identity? (7) Is there a significant relationship between Criminology student's shared values and social concern? (8) Is there a significant relationship between students' moral identity and social concern? (9) Is there a significant relationship between students' moral identity and civic engagement? (10) Is there a significant relationship between students' social concern and civic engagement? (11) What are the predictors for Criminology students' civic engagement?

## 2. Methods

The researcher used a quantitative approach using a descriptive-correlational research design. A descriptive-correlational design is a quantitative research method used to describe phenomena and examine relationships between variables without manipulating those (Thomas & Zubkov, 2023). This design was useful in understanding how the variables influence the other and provide valuable insights into complex interconnections among them. Using this design, this study determined the influence of Criminology program shared values towards the student's civic engagement behaviors and considering the roles of moral identity and social concern of the criminology students in promoting civic engagement motivations.

## 3. Results and Discussions

### 3.1. Criminology Students' Shared Values

Table 1 shows that criminology students exhibit very high levels of shared values, particularly in courtesy (M=4.61, SD=0.31), followed by integrity and tact (M=4.36, SD=0.43), and discipline (M=4.31, SD=0.47). Overall, shared values recorded a very high mean (M=4.43, SD=0.40), reflecting strong enculturation of criminology program traditions. Students demonstrate courteous behavior, disciplined adherence to rules, and integrity, essential for academic and professional development (Rosenberg, 2024; Haslip, 2020; Miles et al., 2022). Such values foster respectful communication, ethical behavior, and lifelong learning skills necessary for their future careers (Gedi et al., 2022; Guerrero-Dib et al., 2020).

**Table 1.** Level of Criminology Students' Shared Values

| Constructs            | Mean | SD   | Remarks   |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----------|
| Courtesy              | 4.61 | 0.31 | Very High |
| Discipline            | 4.31 | 0.47 | Very High |
| Integrity and Tact    | 4.36 | 0.43 | Very High |
| Overall Shared Values | 4.43 | 0.40 | Very High |

*Scale: 4.20-5.0 (Very High); 3.40-4.19 (Moderately High); 2.60-3.39 (High); 1.80-2.59 (Low); 1.0-1.79 (Very Low).*

### 3.2. Students' Civic Engagement

Table 2 indicates a low level of civic engagement among criminology students, with political engagement ( $M=2.29$ ,  $SD=0.74$ ) being lower than volunteerism and charity ( $M=2.62$ ,  $SD=0.75$ ). Overall civic engagement remains low ( $M=2.46$ ,  $SD=0.75$ ), suggesting minimal involvement in political and social activities despite the criminology program's focus on law and social order. Limited political knowledge, lack of civic education, and time constraints hinder their participation (Booth, 2023; Noguiera, 2024; Hayat et al., 2024). While volunteering can develop vital skills for future employment (Evans & Yusof, 2022; Mori, 2025), academic workload and financial concerns limit students' civic involvement (Hamid & Halim, 2023).

**Table 2.** Level of Students' Civic Engagement

| Constructs               | Mean | SD   | Remarks |
|--------------------------|------|------|---------|
| Political Engagement     | 2.29 | 0.74 | Low     |
| Volunteerism and Charity | 2.62 | 0.75 | Low     |
| Overall Civic Engagement | 2.46 | 0.75 | Low     |

*Scale: 4.20-5.0 (Very High); 3.40-4.19 (Moderately High); 2.60-3.39 (High); 1.80-2.59 (Low); 1.0-1.79 (Very Low).*

### 3.3. Students' Moral Identity

Table 3 shows that criminology students have a very high moral self ( $M=4.35$ ,  $SD=0.39$ ) and a moderately high moral integrity ( $M=3.63$ ,  $SD=0.80$ ), resulting in an overall moderately high moral identity ( $M=3.99$ ,  $SD=0.60$ ). This suggests students highly value moral principles and view themselves as morally responsible individuals. Strong moral identity is linked to better psychological well-being, self-esteem, and civic responsibility (Tian & Tang, 2025; Hudson & Brandenberger, 2022). Mentoring and community engagement further develop moral identity (Rodriguez, 2025; Glanzer & Schnitker, 2024). A strong moral foundation also builds resilience and leadership, helping criminology students manage academic and professional challenges ethically (Hardy et al., 2021; Zarrett et al., 2020).

**Table 3.** Level of Students' Moral Identity

| Constructs             | Mean | SD   | Remarks         |
|------------------------|------|------|-----------------|
| Moral Self             | 4.35 | 0.39 | Very High       |
| Moral Integrity        | 3.63 | 0.80 | Moderately High |
| Overall Moral Identity | 3.99 | 0.60 | Moderately High |

*Scale: 4.20-5.0 (Very High); 3.40-4.19 (Moderately High); 2.60-3.39 (High); 1.80-2.59 (Low); 1.0-1.79 (Very Low).*

### 3.4. Students' Social Concern

Table 4 reveals very high levels of affective empathy ( $M=4.29$ ,  $SD=0.46$ ) and cognitive empathy ( $M=4.20$ ,  $SD=0.53$ ), with an overall very high social concern ( $M=4.25$ ,  $SD=0.50$ ). This indicates strong compassion and understanding towards others' hardships. Empathy fosters collaboration, inclusion, and prosocial behaviors such as volunteerism (Abramson, 2021; Bommel, 2021; Reid, 2022). In academics, empathy promotes peer support and

reduces dropout rates (Berardi, 2024; Gokhale, 2024). Criminology programs must nurture empathy to produce graduates who value teamwork and adapt well to complex societal challenges.

**Table 4.** Level of Students' Social Concern

| Constructs             | Mean | SD   | Remarks   |
|------------------------|------|------|-----------|
| Affective Empathy      | 4.29 | 0.46 | Very High |
| Cognitive Empathy      | 4.20 | 0.53 | Very High |
| Overall Social Concern | 4.25 | 0.50 | Very High |

*Scale: 4.20-5.0 (Very High); 3.40-4.19 (Moderately High); 2.60-3.39 (High); 1.80-2.59 (Low); 1.0-1.79 (Very Low).*

### 3.5. Relationship between Criminology Students' Shared Values and Civic Engagement

Table 5 shows that courtesy and discipline have highly significant positive relationships with both political engagement and volunteerism and charity ( $r = 0.23-0.33$ ,  $p < .01$  and  $p < .001$ ), while integrity and tact are only significantly correlated with volunteerism and charity ( $r = 0.215$ ,  $p < .05$ ). This indicates that courtesy and discipline strongly influence students' civic participation, while integrity and tact primarily enhance volunteerism. This supports the Model of Organizational Culture and the Theory of Planned Behavior, suggesting that departmental culture and perceived norms shape student engagement. Courtesy builds trust and collaboration essential for civic action (Dang et al., 2021; Verlinden & Bailey, 2020), while discipline strengthens self-efficacy and consistent civic behaviors (Dauer et al., 2021; Bird et al., 2020). Integrity motivates challenging unethical practices (Clark, 2022). Thus, instilling courtesy, discipline, and integrity in criminology students fosters civic-minded graduates ready to contribute to society.

**Table 5.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Shared Values and Civic Engagement

| Variables          |   | Political Engagement | Volunteerism and Charity |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Courtesy           | r | 0.23**               | 0.33***                  |
|                    | p | 0.01                 | < .001                   |
| Discipline         | r | 0.23**               | 0.32***                  |
|                    | p | 0.01                 | < .001                   |
| Integrity and Tact | r | 0.13                 | 0.215*                   |
|                    | p | 0.16                 | 0.02                     |

*Notes: Ho: There is no significant relationship between the student's shared values and civic engagement.*

*Probability Value Scale: \*\*\*  $p < .001$  (Highly Significant); \*\* $p < 0.01$  (Highly Significant); \* $p < 0.05$  (Significant);  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).*

### 3.6. Relationship between Criminology Students' Shared Values and Moral Identity

Table 6 reveals that courtesy, discipline, and integrity and tact have highly significant positive relationships with students' moral self ( $r = 0.41-0.54$ ,  $p < .001$ ), but no significant link with moral integrity. This means that while shared values enhance students' self-perception as moral individuals, they do not automatically ensure moral behavior. This finding supports the Model of Organizational Culture, emphasizing the role of departmental culture

in shaping moral identity. Courtesy promotes moral sensitivity and civility (Li et al., 2025; Gurchiek, 2024), discipline aids moral decision-making through self-control (Tian & Tang, 2025; Hidayah, 2021), and integrity fosters ethical behavior (Walia, 2022). Embedding these values within criminology programs develops students with strong moral reasoning and ethical decision-making abilities.

**Table 6.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Shared Values and Moral Identity

| Variables          |   | Moral Self | Moral Integrity |
|--------------------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Courtesy           | r | 0.41***    | 0.12            |
|                    | p | < .001     | 0.19            |
| Discipline         | r | 0.42***    | 0.03            |
|                    | p | < .001     | 0.71            |
| Integrity and Tact | r | 0.54***    | 0.17            |
|                    | p | < .001     | 0.06            |

*Notes: Ho: There is no significant relationship between the student's shared values and civic engagement.*

*Probability Value Scale: \*\*\*  $p < .001$  (Highly Significant); \*\* $p < 0.01$  (Highly Significant); \* $p < 0.05$  (Significant);  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).*

### 3.7. Relationship between Criminology Students' Shared Values and Social Concern

Table 7 shows a significant positive correlation between courtesy, discipline, integrity and tact, and students' social concern. Courtesy ( $r = 0.32$ ;  $p < .001$ ), discipline ( $r = 0.27$ ;  $p < .01$ ), and integrity and tact ( $r = 0.31$ ;  $p < .001$ ) are significantly related to affective empathy. Similarly, these values also correlate with cognitive empathy. This suggests that students who practice these values are more empathetic toward others, aligning with the Model of Organizational Culture, which highlights how institutional practices shape behavior. Courtesy fosters social understanding (Santos, 2023; Jiang et al., 2021), discipline promotes emotional control (Tekin, 2024; Peng et al., 2024), and integrity builds trust, encouraging emotional openness (Sayers, 2021; Ampofo et al., 2025). Developing these values through daily interactions strengthens students' empathy and social concern, enhancing community engagement.

**Table 7.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Shared Values and Social Concern

| Variables          |   | Affective Empathy | Cognitive Empathy |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Courtesy           | r | 0.32***           | 0.27**            |
|                    | p | < .001            | 0.002             |
| Discipline         | r | 0.27**            | 0.25**            |
|                    | p | 0.002             | 0.005             |
| Integrity and Tact | r | 0.31***           | 0.43***           |
|                    | p | < .001            | < .001            |

*Notes: Ho: There is no significant relationship between the student's shared values and social concern.*

*Probability Value Scale: \*\*\*  $p < .001$  (Highly Significant); \*\* $p < 0.01$  (Highly Significant); \* $p < 0.05$  (Significant);  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).*

### 3.8. Relationship between Criminology Students' Moral Identity and Social Concern

Table 8 shows that moral self strongly correlates with affective ( $r = 0.46$ ;  $p < .001$ ) and cognitive empathy ( $r = 0.45$ ;  $p < .001$ ), while moral integrity shows no significant relationship. Students who see themselves as moral are more empathetic, supporting the Theory of Planned Behavior that intrinsic attitudes drive social concern. Studies show that strong moral identity enhances empathy and social responsibility (Tian & Tang, 2025; Wang et al., 2023). Morality fosters emotional resilience, encouraging students to understand and support others (Dawson et al., 2021; Boggio et al., 2024). For criminology students, empathy and moral identity are essential for ethical and effective community engagement.

**Table 8.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Moral Identity and Social Concern

| Variables       |   | Affective Empathy | Cognitive Empathy |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Moral Self      | r | 0.46***           | 0.45**            |
|                 | p | < .001            | < .001            |
| Moral Integrity | r | 0.15              | 0.17              |
|                 | p | 0.9               | 0.06              |

Notes:  $H_0$ : There is no significant relationship between the student's shared values and social concern.

Probability Value Scale: \*\*\*  $p < .001$  (Highly Significant); \*\* $p < 0.01$  (Highly Significant); \* $p < 0.05$  (Significant);  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).

### 3.9. Relationship between Criminology Students' Moral Identity and Civic Engagement

Table 9 reveals a positive relationship between moral self and civic engagement (political engagement  $r = 0.31$ , volunteerism  $r = 0.32$ ;  $p < .001$ ). Conversely, moral integrity shows a negative relationship (political engagement  $r = -0.26$ ; volunteerism  $r = -0.23$ ;  $p < .01$ ). This means students who view themselves as moral are more likely to engage in civic activities, while those focused strictly on moral behavior may disengage. Moral identity influences political and volunteer involvement (Kivikangas et al., 2021; Yirka, 2020). However, due to dissatisfaction with political corruption (Borja et al., 2024; Gayman, 2024), morally strict students may avoid politics. They may also refrain from volunteering if opportunities conflict with their values (Grant et al., 2024; Mayor & Estalayo, 2024). Nurturing moral principles can guide students toward responsible civic action.

**Table 9.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Moral Identity and Civic Engagement

| Variables       |   | Political Engagement | Volunteerism and Charity |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Moral Self      | r | 0.31***              | 0.32***                  |
|                 | p | < .001               | < .001                   |
| Moral Integrity | r | - 0.26**             | - 0.23**                 |
|                 | p | 0.003                | 0.01                     |

Notes:  $H_0$ : There is no significant relationship between the student's moral self and civic engagement.

Probability Value Scale: \*\*\*  $p < .001$  (Highly Significant); \*\* $p < 0.01$  (Highly Significant); \* $p < 0.05$  (Significant);  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).



### 3.10. Relationship between Criminology Student's Social Concern and Civic Engagement

Table 10 shows no significant correlation between criminology students' affective and cognitive empathy with political engagement and volunteerism, as all p-values are above 0.05. This suggests that social concern does not directly influence civic engagement. According to Casey et al. (2023) and Muradova (2025), while empathy shapes political attitudes, factors like moral judgment, online behavior, and personal circumstances (e.g., time and self-doubt) have a stronger effect on political participation. Jamal et al. (2025) and Chung et al. (2021) also noted that the lack of supportive environments can hinder volunteerism among empathic individuals. Thus, despite their social concern, criminology students face barriers like heavy academic load, financial constraints, and limited campus initiatives, reducing their civic involvement.

**Table 10.** Significant Relationship between Criminology Student's Social Concern and Civic Engagement

| Variables         |   | Political Engagement | Volunteerism and Charity |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Affective Empathy | r | 0.10                 | 0.16                     |
|                   | p | 0.29                 | 0.07                     |
| Cognitive Empathy | r | 0.11                 | 0.12                     |
|                   | p | 0.23                 | 0.18                     |

*Notes: Ho: There is no significant relationship between the student's moral self and civic engagement.*

*Probability Value Scale:  $p > 0.05$  (Not significant).*

### 3.11. Predictors of the Civic Engagement of Criminology Students

Table 11 shows that 17% ( $R^2 = 0.17$ ) of criminology students' civic engagement is predicted by shared values and moral identity ( $p < .001$ ). Civic engagement increases with higher shared values ( $\beta = 0.48$ ) and moral identity ( $\beta = 0.21$ ), suggesting that exposure to strong values and moral education fosters civic behavior. Courtesy, discipline, integrity, and tact also positively influence civic engagement by promoting respect, self-regulation, and alignment with altruistic values (Kin & Chow, 2023; Alam et al., 2023; Tzankova et al., 2021). Moral identity strengthens service-oriented and political civic activities (Haduong et al., 2024; Wray-Lake & Ballard, 2023). Thus, cultivating core values and moral education within criminology programs is crucial to enhance students' civic participation and social contribution.

**Table 11.** Regression Analysis of the Predictors of the Civic Engagement of Criminology Students

| Predictor        | Estimate ( $\beta$ )  | SE   | 95% Confidence Interval |       | t    | p      | Stand. Estimate | 95% Confidence Interval |       |
|------------------|---|------|-------------------------|-------|------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|
|                  |   |      | Lower                   | Upper |      |        |                 | Lower                   | Upper |
| (Constant)       | 0.66  | 0.56 | 0.45                    | 1.77  | 1.17 | 0.24   |                 |                         |       |
| Shared Values    | 0.48  | 0.12 | 0.25                    | 0.70  | 4.15 | < .001 | 0.34            | 0.18                    | 0.51  |
| Moral Identity   | 0.21  | 0.09 | 0.03                    | 0.39  | 2.34 | 0.021  | 0.19            | 0.03                    | 0.36  |
| $R^2$            | 0.17  |      |                         |       |      |        |                 |                         |       |
| Adjusted $r^2$   | 0.16  |      |                         |       |      |        |                 |                         |       |
| F value          | 12.70   |      |                         |       |      |        |                 |                         |       |
| p-value          | < .001  |      |                         |       |      |        |                 |                         |       |
| Civic Engagement | $= 0.66 + 0.48 * \text{shared values} + 0.21 * \text{moral identity}$ |      |                         |       |      |        |                 |                         |       |

#### **4. Conclusions**

The study concludes that criminology students possess strong shared values like courtesy, discipline, integrity, and tact, which shape their moral identity and empathy. However, civic engagement remains low, showing limited involvement in political and volunteer activities. Shared values and moral identity are significant predictors of civic engagement, but empathy alone does not directly lead to civic actions. Strengthening moral development and value formation within criminology programs is essential for enhancing civic participation among students.

##### **4.1. Future Suggestions**

1. Integrate civic engagement activities into criminology curricula to provide students with hands-on opportunities that connect academic learning with community service.
2. Conduct longitudinal research to track how students' shared values, moral identity, and civic engagement develop over time, from entry to graduation.
3. Examine institutional and cultural influences such as faculty mentorship, peer networks, and university policies that may impact students' civic behavior.
4. Include a more diverse range of institutions and students in future studies to compare value formation and civic participation across different demographic and geographic contexts.
5. Develop targeted programs and interventions that translate students' empathy into concrete civic actions, such as volunteering, advocacy, or political participation.

#### **5. Recommendations**

Higher education institutions should reinforce the criminology culture and values of courtesy, discipline, integrity, and tact while promoting moral education to enhance students' civic engagement. Structured programs, such as community immersion, outreach activities, and political forums, should be implemented to connect moral identity with real-world civic actions. Future research should explore additional factors influencing civic participation among criminology students to design more effective interventions.

#### **Declarations**

##### **Source of Funding**

This study did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

##### **Competing Interests Statement**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

##### **Consent for publication**

The authors declare that they consented to the publication of this study.

##### **Authors' contributions**

Both the authors took part in literature review, analysis, and manuscript writing equally.



## References

- [1] Abramson, A. (2021). Cultivating empathy. *Monitor on Psychology*, 52(8): 44.
- [2] Alam, I., Ramirez, K., Semsar, K., & Corwin, L.A. (2023). Predictors of Scientific Civic Engagement (PSCE) Survey: A multidimensional instrument to measure undergraduates' attitudes, knowledge, and intention to engage with the community using their science skills. *CBE - Life Sciences Education*, 22(1). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ej1381650>.
- [3] Amarasinghe, K.K. (2024). Discipline in the military sense: A review on concepts and selected legal authorities. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349>.
- [4] Ampofo, J., Bentum-Micah, G., Xusheng, Q., Sun, B., & Mensah Asumang, R. (2025). Exploring the role of teacher empathy in student mental health outcomes: A comparative SEM approach to understanding the complexities of emotional support in educational settings. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1503258>.
- [5] Berardi, K. (2024). What are the most effective components of empathy education for undergraduate students to increase their empathy levels? (The UNESCO Chair for Global Citizenship Education).
- [6] Bird, W., Bowling, A., & Ball, A. (2020). Civic engagement, autonomy, and reflection: Factors influencing youth's self-perceived civic responsibility. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 61(1). <https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2020.01203>.
- [7] Boggio, P.S., Nezlek, J.B., Alfano, M., Azevedo, F., Capraro, V., Cichocka, A., & Van Bavel, J.J. (2024). A time for moral actions: Moral identity, morality-as-cooperation and moral circles predict support of collective action to fight the COVID-19 pandemic in an international sample. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 27(1): 178–195.
- [8] Bommel, T.V. (2021). The power of empathy in times of crisis and beyond. *Catalyst.org*. <https://www.catalyst.org/insights/2021/empathy-work-strategy-crisis>.
- [9] Booth, R.B. (2023). Youth are interested in political action, but lack support and opportunities. *Circle.tufts.edu*. <https://circle.tufts.edu/latest-research/youth-are-interested-political-action-lack-support-and-opportunities>.
- [10] Borja, A.L.A., Torneo, A.R., & Hecita, I.J.R. (2024). Challenges to democratization from the perspective of political inaction: Insights into political disempowerment and citizenship in the Philippines. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 44(1): 3–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18681034241239060>.
- [11] Cakal, H., et al. (2021). Intergroup contact and endorsement of social change motivations: The mediating role of intergroup trust, perspective-taking, and intergroup anxiety among three advantaged groups in Northern Cyprus, Romania, and Israel. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 24(1): 48–67.
- [12] Casey, J.P., Vanman, E.J., & Barlow, F.K. (2023). Empathic conservatives and moralizing liberals: Political intergroup empathy varies by political ideology and is explained by moral judgment. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 51(5): 678–700. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672231198001>.

- [13] Chittum, J.R., Enke, K.A.E., & Finley, A.P. (2022). The effects of community-based and civic engagement in higher education. American Association of Colleges and Universities.
- [14] Chung, Y.W., Im, S., & Kim, J.E. (2021). Can empathy help individuals and society? Through the lens of volunteering and mental health. *Healthcare*, 9(11): 1406. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9111406>.
- [15] Clark, S. (2022). Why political engagement is essential for student activists. *Best Colleges*. <https://www.bestcolleges.com/blog/political-engagement-for-student-activists/>.
- [16] Commission on Higher Education (2018). Policies, standards and guidelines for the Bachelor of Science in Criminology Program. Commission on Higher Education. <https://ched.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/cmo-no.-05-s.-2018-psg-for-bs-criminology.pdf>.
- [17] Czachura, M., & Blukacz, M. (2021). How relevant for you is to be a moral person? Polish validation of the Self-Importance of Moral Identity Scale. *PLOS ONE*, 16(8): e0255386. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255386>.
- [18] Dang, L., Seemann, A., Lindenmeier, J., & Saliterer, I. (2021). Explaining civic engagement: The role of neighborhood ties, place attachment, and civic responsibility. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 50(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22751>.
- [19] Dauer, J.M., Sorensen, A.E., & Wilson, J. (2021). Students' civic engagement self-efficacy varies across socio scientific issues contexts. *Frontiers in Education*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.628784>.
- [20] Dawson, K.J., Han, H., & Choi, Y.R. (2021). How are moral foundations associated with empathic traits and moral identity? *Current Psychology*, Pages 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02069-2>.
- [21] Dee, T.S. (2020). Education and civic engagement. *The Economics of Education*, Pages 103–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-815391-8.00008-2>.
- [22] Department of the Interior and Local Government Act. RA 6975, Sec 33 (1990). *Lawphil.net*. [https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra1990/ra\\_6975\\_1990.html](https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra1990/ra_6975_1990.html).
- [23] Evans, C., & Yusof, Z.N. (2022). Volunteering: A viable alternative work experience for university students? *Industry and Higher Education*, 37(1): 095042222210931. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09504222221093180>.
- [24] Gayman, D. (2024). Study shows politics bend moral judgments, tolerance. *Nebraska Today*. <https://news.unl.edu/article/study-shows-politics-bend-moral-judgments-tolerance>.
- [25] Gedi, A.A., Warfa, A.O., & Mumo, R. (2022). Relationship between discipline and academic performance of secondary school students in Habaswein Sub-county Wajir County. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 30(1): 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2022/v30i130724>.
- [26] Glanzer, P., & Schnitker, S. (2024). The Role of Meta-Identity in Developing Moral Communities within Higher Education. *John Templeton Foundation*. <https://www.templeton.org/grant/the-role-of-meta-identity-in-developing-moral-communities-within-higher-education>.

- [27] Gokhale, N. (2023). Why empathy is crucial on campus. Indiatoday.in; India Today. <https://bestcolleges.india today.in/news-detail/why-empathy-is-crucial-on-campus>.
- [28] Grant, E.M., French, J.I., Bolic, M., & Hammond, S.I. (2024). Volunteering Trajectories and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Persistent, Emergent, and Former Volunteers and Personal, Moral, and Prudential Reasoning. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 39(6): 1693–1719. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07435584241256584>.
- [29] Guerrero-Dib, J.G., Portales, L., & Heredia-Escorza, Y. (2020). Impact of academic integrity on workplace ethical behaviour. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 16(1): 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40979-020-0051-3>.
- [30] Gurchiek, K. (2024). Treat Others with Courtesy by Practicing Civility on Campus. Shrm.org. <https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/organizational-employee-development/treat-others-with-courtesy-by-practicing-civility-on-campus>.
- [31] Haduong, P., Jeffries, J., Pao, A., Webb, W., Allen, D., & Kidd, D. (2024). Who am I and what do I care about? Supporting civic identity development in civic education. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 19(2): 185–201.
- [32] Hamid, M.S.A., & Halim, N.S.F.A. (2023). Exploring satisfaction, motivation and challenges of volunteering among university students. *E-Bangi Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 20(3). <https://doi.org/10.17576/ebangi.2023.2003.03>.
- [33] Hardy, S.A., Francis, S.W., Zamboanga, B.L., Kim, S.Y., Anderson, S.G., & Forthun, L.F. (2021). The Roles of Identity Formation and Moral Identity in College Student Mental Health, Health-risk Behaviors, and Psychological Well-Being. *BYU Scholars Archive*. <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub/6176/>.
- [34] Haslip, M.J. (2020). The power of please: How courtesy scripts improve self-control and reduce peer conflict by creating new language patterns. *Networks: An Online Journal for Teacher Research*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.4148/2470-6353.1304>.
- [35] Hayat, A., Latif, A., Bilal, M.Z., & Fatima, S. (2024). Examining the impact of political awareness on university students' political engagement. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, 2(04): 669–680. <https://contemporaryjournal.com/index.php/14/article/view/102>.
- [36] Hidayah, R. (2021). Students' Self-Adjustment, Self-Control, and Morality. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 12(1): 174–193. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/219413/>.
- [37] Hudson, T.D., & Brandenberger, J. (2023). College Students' Moral and Prosocial Responsibility: Associations with Community Engagement Experiences. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 46(1): 52–79. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10538259221090599>.
- [38] Hull, K., Warren, C., & Smith, K. (2024). Politics makes bastards of us all: Why moral judgment is politically situational. *Political Psychology*, 45(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12954>.

- [39] Jamal, M.B., Sarifin, M.R., & Hamzah, I.S. (2025). Knowledge, attitude, and practice towards volunteerism: a perspective from Sultan Idris Education University. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 19(2): 978–987. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i2.22475>.
- [40] Jiang, Y., Yao, Y., Zhu, X., & Wang, S. (2021). The Influence of College Students' Empathy on Prosocial Behavior in the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Mediating Role of Social Responsibility. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.782246>.
- [41] Kim, M., & Koo, D.W. (2020). Visitors' pro-environmental behavior and the underlying motivations for natural environment: Merging dual concern theory and attachment theory. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 56: 102147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102>.
- [42] Kin, H., & Chow, S.C. (2023). On the relationship between green space and civic engagement: The roles of well-being, outgroup trust, and activity level. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 72(1–2): 170–186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12692>.
- [43] Kivikangas, J.M., Fernández-Castilla, B., Järvelä, S., Ravaja, N., & Lönnqvist, J.E. (2021). Moral foundations and political orientation: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 147(1): 55.
- [44] Landig, R.C., & Landig, A.M. (2024). Political Awareness and Civic Consciousness in Fostering Community Engagement of HUMSS Students. *Ijsshmr.com*. <https://www.ijsshmr.com/v3i7/20.php>.
- [45] Li, Z., Wang, D., Liao, J., & Jin, Z. (2025). The relationship between moral sensitivity and prosocial behavior in college students: the mediating roles of moral disengagement and reciprocity norms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1508962>.
- [46] Martini, M., Rollero, C., Rizzo, M., Di Carlo, S., De Piccoli, N., & Fedi, A. (2023). Educating Youth to Civic Engagement for Social Justice: Evaluation of a Secondary School Project. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(8): 650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13080650>.
- [47] Mayor, X.M., & Estalayo, M.M. (2024). Volunteering among social work students: satisfaction, motives and benefits. When the emotional transcends the academic. *Social Work Education*, Pages 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2024.2438257>.
- [48] Miles, P., Campbell, M., & Ruxton, G. (2022). Why students cheat and how understanding this can help reduce the frequency of academic misconduct in higher education: A literature review. *The Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.59390/lxmj2920>.
- [49] Mishra, P., & Sharma, S. (2021). Organizational Culture and Its Impact on Student Engagement: A Study Based on Private and Public Universities in Rajasthan. In Rajagopal, Behl, R. (Eds.), *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45521-7\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45521-7_11).
- [50] Mori, G. (2025). Motivations, Benefits, and Challenges of Students Volunteering in Civil Society Organizations: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review*, 8(2): 62–73. <https://doi.org/10.47814/ijssrr.v8i2.2564>.

- [51] Mowreader, A. (2024). Promoting civic engagement, voting among college students. Inside Higher Ed | Higher Education News, Events and Jobs. <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/student-success/college-experience/2024/09/03/promoting-civic-engagement-voting-among-college>.
- [52] Muradova, L. (2025). Empathy and Political Reasoning. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009643573>.
- [53] Ngumuta, M., Kirimi, F., & Mandela, R. (2024). The effects of aspects of school culture on academic performance in selected public secondary schools in Machakos County, Kenya. International Journal of Education and Research, 12(5). <https://ijern.com/journal/2024/may-2024/04.pdf>.
- [54] Nunes, A.C., & Moura, J. (2024). The impact of cultural capital on school performance. Frontiers in Education, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1389574>.
- [55] Peng, L., Jiang, Y., Ye, J., & Xiong, Z. (2024). The Impact of Empathy on Prosocial Behavior among College Students: The Mediating Role of Moral Identity and the Moderating Role of Sense of Security. Behavioral Sciences, 14(11): 1024–1024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14111024>.
- [56] Reid, S. (2022). Empathy: How to Feel and Respond to the Emotions of Others. HelpGuide.org. <https://www.helpguide.org/relationships/communication/empathy>.
- [57] Rodriguez, M. O. (2025). Moral Identity and Its Relationship to Higher Education Mentoring and Community Service Engagement. Digital Commons @ Andrews University. <https://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/dissertations/1831/>.
- [58] Rosenberg, L. (2024). How Kindness May Help Students Transition to College. Greater Good. [https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/article/item/how\\_kindness\\_may\\_help\\_students\\_transition\\_to\\_college](https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/article/item/how_kindness_may_help_students_transition_to_college).
- [59] Santos, L. (2023). A Comparative Analysis on Influence of College Students' Empathy on Their Prosocial Behavior. Journal of Positive Psychology and Wellbeing, 7(4): 399–406. <https://journalppw.com/index.php/jppw/article/view/17937>.
- [60] Sayers, M. (2021). A Call for Empathy and Honesty. Vocation Matters. <https://vocationmatters.org/2021/02/02/a-call-for-empathy-and-honesty/>.
- [61] Shehzad, M., & Toor, H. (2024). Translation and Validation of Moral Identity Questionnaire for Members of Police Force. Ebsco.com.
- [62] Stringer, E.C., & Murphy, J. (2020). Major Decisions and Career Attractiveness among Criminal Justice Students. Journal of Criminal Justice Education, 31(4): 523–541. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511253.2020.1814829>.
- [63] Tekin, O. (2024). Academic self-discipline as a mediating variable in the relationship between social media addiction and academic achievement: mixed methodology. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-03633-x#citeas>.
- [64] The Philippine Criminology Profession Act. RA No. 11131, Sec. 35 (2018). Lawphil.net. [https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2018/ra\\_11131\\_2018.html](https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2018/ra_11131_2018.html).

- [65] Thomas, D., & Zubkov, P. (2023). Quantitative Research Designs. ResearchGate; unknown. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370630979\\_quantitative\\_research\\_designs](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370630979_quantitative_research_designs).
- [66] Tian, X., & Tang, Y. (2025). The Long-Term Impact of Moral Education on College Students' Psychological Well-Being: A Longitudinal Study Revealing Multidimensional Synergistic Mechanisms. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(2): 217–227. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15020217>.
- [67] Tzankova, I.I., Albanesi, C., & Cicognani, E. (2021). Perceived School Characteristics Fostering Civic Engagement Among Adolescents in Italy. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2021.611824>.
- [68] Verlinden, N., & Bailey, G. (2020). Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Benefits and 3 Best Practices. *AIHR*. <https://www.aihr.com/blog/organizational-citizenship-behavior/>.
- [69] Walia, N. (2022). Promoting ethics and morality in education for equality, diversity and inclusivity. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Cases (JMC)*, 2(01): 1–9.
- [70] Wang, H., Ao, L., Gao, Y., Liu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2023). Empathy for pain in individuals influenced by moral identity: Evidence from an ERP study. *Physiology & Behavior*, 266: 114202.
- [71] Watson, R. (2023). Culture and Democracy – How Cultural Participation Drives Positive Civic Engagement – Decentered Media. *Decentered Media*. <https://decentred.co.uk/culture-and-democracy-how-cultural-participation-drives-positive-civic-engagement/>.
- [72] Wray-Lake, L., & Ballard, P.J. (2023). Civic engagement across adolescence and early adulthood. In Crockett, L.J., et al. (Eds.), *APA handbook of adolescent and young adult development*, Pages 573–593, American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000298-035>.
- [73] Yirka, B. (2020). College roommates found to have influence on first year students' political ideology. *Phys.org*. <https://phys.org/news/2020-12-college-roommates-year-students-political.html>.
- [74] Zarrett, N., Liu, Y., Vandell, D.L., & Simpkins, S. D. (2020). The Role of Organized Activities in Supporting Youth Moral and Civic Character Development: A Review of the Literature. *Adolescent Research Review*, 6(2): 199–227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-020-00142-1>.
- [75] Zembylas, M. (2023). The Affective Dimensions of Militarism in Schools: Methodological, Ethical and Political Implications. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 71(4): 419–437. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2023.2178635>.